

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/965,071		09/27/2001	Terrence Sick	2000-05 2718	
27157	7590	09/18/2006		EXAMINER	
		BASCH, LLP RCIAL STREET, SUI	CHANDLER, SARA M		
EAST ROCHESTER, NY 14445				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3693	

DATE MAILED: 09/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/965,071	SICK ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sara Chandler	3693				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Se	eptember 2001.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔯 Infon	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 12/11/01, 3/22/02.		ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3693

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed March 22, 2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. A copy of the reference BARKER et al the Web User's Guide to Screening Stock, Business Week, September 22 1997 No. 3545, p 114 was not included. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 3-6, 8,9,11-13,15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rivette, US Pat. No. 5,991,751.

Re Claim 3: Rivette discloses in an on-line service, a method for screening financial investment opportunities, comprising the steps of:

searching a financial database for data records associated with at least one

company based upon a financial search criteria and retrieving the results thereof (Rivette, col. 25, line 39+ - col. 30, line 6 searching module; Figs. 1,2,4,6; abstract; col. 3, line 59+ - col. 4, line 4; col. 10, lines 17-39; col. 11, lines 1-7; col. 12, lines 44-52; col. 12, lines 65+ - col. 13, line 7; col. 15, liens 37-45; col. 16, lines 42-52; and col. 21, lines 59+ - col. 22, line 6 refs. non-patent information, financial information, databases); searching an intellectual property database for data records associated with at least one company based upon an intellectual property search criteria and retrieving the results thereof (Rivette, col. 25, line 39+ - col. 30, line 6 searching module; Figs. 12a-m; abstract; col. 3, line 59+ - col. 4, line 3; col. 4, line 15-21; col. 10, lines 8-16 and lines 45-48; col. 16, lines 53-67 ref. patent information). combining the results of the financial database searching step and the intellectual property database searching step to determine common results on a company basis (Rivette, Fig. 7, col. 11, lines 1-7; col. 12, lines 43-52; col. 13, lines 1-11; col 20, lines 12-21 "automatically analyzing the patent information 204 in conjunction with the financial information 216"); and depicting the combined results (Rivette, Fig. 7, col. 11, lines 1-7; col. 12, lines 43-52; col. 13, lines 1-11; col 20, lines 12-21 "automatically analyzing the patent information 204 in conjunction with the financial information 216").

Re Claim 4: Rivette discloses a method, wherein the method further comprises the step of analyzing the number of common results on a company basis to determine if the number is greater than zero (Rivette, col. 25, line 39+ - col. 30, line 6 searching module), and

if not relaxing the search criteria employed in at least one of the searching steps and rerunning the searches so as to obtain a non-zero number of common results (Rivette, col. 25, line 39+ - col. 30, line 6 searching module).

Re Claim 5: Rivette discloses a method, wherein the step of relaxing the search criteria is accomplished without user intervention (Rivette, col. 25, line 39+ - col. 30, line 6 searching module).

Re Claim 6: Rivette discloses a method, further including the step of maintaining the financial database data records and the intellectual property database records, wherein the step includes periodically launching an automated search of network resources to locate and update financial and intellectual property information', and storing the updated information in the respective database (Rivette, col 16, line 19+ -col. 22, line 24 databases; col. 25, line 39+ - col. 30, line 6 searching module).

Re Claim 8: Rivette discloses a method, further including the step of constructing a search query having both financial search criteria and intellectual property search criteria (Rivette, col. 25, line 39+ - col. 30, line 6 searching module).

Re Claim 9: Rivette discloses a method, wherein the step of constructing a search query having both financial search criteria and intellectual property search criteria is facilitated by the step of displaying a search construction interface to a user (Rivette, col. 25, line 39+ - col. 30, line 6 searching module).

Re Claim 11: Rivette discloses a system for screening financial investment opportunities, comprising (Rivette, Figs. 3,4,5,6; col. 13, line 26+ - col. 15, line 23): a computer platform responsive to user input including access to at least a

Page 5

Art Unit: 3693

financial database including data records associated with at least one company and an intellectual property database including data records associated with at least one company (Rivette, Figs. 3,4,5,6; col. 13, line 26+ - col. 15, line 23 computer; col 16, line 19+ -col. 22, line 24 databases); programmable search software, operable by said computer platform, for searching the financial database for data records associated with at least one company based upon a financial search criteria and retrieving the results thereof for storage in a first memory, said programmable search function also being employed for searching the intellectual property database for data records associated with at least one company based upon an intellectual property search criteria and retrieving the results thereof for storage in a second memory (Rivette, col. 14, lines 55-67; col. 15, lines 15-23 software; col. 25, line 39+ - col. 30, line 6 searching module; Figs. 1,2,4,6; abstract; col. 3, line 59+ - col. 4, line 4; col. 10, lines 17-39; col. 11, lines 1-7; col. 12, lines 44-52; col. 12, lines 65+ - col. 13, line 7; col. 15, liens 37-45; col. 16, lines 42-52; and col. 21, lines 59+ - col. 22, line 6 refs. non-patent information, financial information; F igs. 12a-m; abstract; col. 3, line 59+ - col. 4, line 3; col. 4, line 15-21; col. 10, lines 8-16 and lines 45-48; col. 16, lines 53-67 ref. patent information); search analysis software, operable by said computer platform, for combining the results of the financial database search and the intellectual property database search to determine common results (Rivette, col. 14, lines 55-67; col. 15, lines 15-23 software; Fig. 7, col. 11, lines 1-7; col. 12, lines 43-52; col. 13, lines 1-11;

Application/Control Number: 09/965,071

Art Unit: 3693

col 20, lines 12-21 "automatically analyzing the patent information 204 in conjunction with the financial information 216"); and

a display device for depicting the common results (Rivette, col. 15, line 5-8 display unit; Fig. 7, col. 11, lines 1-7; col. 12, lines 43-52; col. 13, lines 1-11; col 20, lines 12-21 "automatically analyzing the patent information 204 in conjunction with the financial information 216").

Re Claim 12: Rivette discloses a system, wherein said computer platform includes a client and a server interconnected by a network, and where the financial database and the intellectual property database are stored on devices accessible through said server Rivette, Figs. 3 e.g., clients, network, servers, databases ,4,5,6; col. 13, line 26+ - col. 15, line 23.

Re Claim 13: Rivette discloses a system, further including means for automatically relaxing search criteria employed by the programmable search software so as to obtain a non-zero number of search results (Rivette, col. 25, line 39+ - col. 30, line 6 searching module).

Re Claim 15: Rivette discloses a system, wherein said display device is suitable for the display of at least one user-selectable data item to assist with the construction of a search query having both financial search criteria and intellectual property search criteria (Rivette, col. 15, line 5-8 display unit; col. 25, line 39+ - col. 30, line 6 searching module).

Re Claim 16: Rivette discloses a method for processing a search request having financial search criteria, comprising:

Page 7

Art Unit: 3693

receiving results from a financial database having at least one data record corresponding to the financial search criteria (Rivette, Figs. 3,4,5,6; col. 13, line 26+ - col. 15, line 23 computer; col 16, line 19+ -col. 22, line 24 databases); determining that the search request includes intellectual property search criteria (Rivette, col. 14, lines 55-67; col. 15, lines 15-23 software; col. 25, line 39+ - col. 30, line 6 searching module; Figs. 1,2,4,6; abstract; col. 3, line 59+ - col. 4, line 4; col. 10, lines 17-39; col. 11, lines 1-7; col. 12, lines 44-52; col. 12, lines 65+ - col. 13, line 7; col. 15, liens 37-45; col. 16, lines 42-52; and col. 21, lines 59+ - col. 22, line 6 refs. non-patent information, financial information; F igs. 12a-m; abstract; col. 3, line 59+ - col. 4, line 3; col. 4, line 15-21; col. 10, lines 8-16 and lines 45-48; col. 16, lines 53-67 ref. patent information);

filtering said results for data records corresponding to said intellectual property search criteria (Rivette, col. 14, lines 55-67; col. 15, lines 15-23 software; col. 25, line 39+ - col. 30, line 6 searching module; Figs. 1,2,4,6; abstract; col. 3, line 59+ - col. 4, line 4; col. 10, lines 17-39; col. 11, lines 1-7; col. 12, lines 44-52; col. 12, lines 65+ - col. 13, line 7; col. 15, liens 37-45; col. 16, lines 42-52; and col. 21, lines 59+ - col. 22, line 6 refs. non-patent information, financial information; F igs. 12a-m; abstract; col. 3, line 59+ - col. 4, line 3; col. 4, line 15-21; col. 10, lines 8-16 and lines 45-48; col. 16, lines 53-67 ref. patent information); and presenting said filtered results (Rivette, col. 9, line 65+ -col. 10, line 3 ie., reporting, analyzing and planning; col. 20, lines 42-52).

Art Unit: 3693

Re Claim 17: Rivette discloses a method for processing a search request having intellectual property search criteria, comprising:

receiving results from an intellectual property database having at least one data record corresponding to the intellectual property search criteria (Rivette, Figs. 3,4,5,6; col. 13, line 26+ - col. 15, line 23 computer; col 16, line 19+ -col. 22, line 24 databases);

determining that the search request includes financial search criteria (Rivette, col. 14, lines 55-67; col. 15, lines 15-23 software; col. 25, line 39+ - col. 30, line 6 searching module; Figs. 1,2,4,6; abstract; col. 3, line 59+ - col. 4, line 4; col. 10, lines 17-39; col. 11, lines 1-7; col. 12, lines 44-52; col. 12, lines 65+ - col. 13, line 7; col. 15, liens 37-45; col. 16, lines 42-52; and col. 21, lines 59+ - col. 22, line 6 refs. non-patent information. financial information; F igs. 12a-m; abstract; col. 3, line 59+ - col. 4, line 3; col. 4, line 15-21; col. 10, lines 8-16 and lines 45-48; col. 16, lines 53-67 ref. patent information); filtering said results for data records corresponding to said financial search criteria (Rivette, col. 14, lines 55-67; col. 15, lines 15-23 software; col. 25, line 39+ - col. 30, line 6 searching module; Figs. 1,2,4,6; abstract; col. 3, line 59+ - col. 4, line 4; col. 10, lines 17-39; col. 11, lines 1-7; col. 12, lines 44-52; col. 12, lines 65+ - col. 13, line 7; col. 15, liens 37-45; col. 16, lines 42-52; and col. 21, lines 59+ - col. 22, line 6 refs. nonpatent information, financial information; F igs. 12a-m; abstract; col. 3, line 59+ - col. 4, line 3; col. 4, line 15-21; col. 10, lines 8-16 and lines 45-48; col. 16, lines 53-67 ref. patent information); and

Art Unit: 3693

presenting said filtered results (Rivette, col. 9, line 65+ -col. 10, line 3 ie., reporting, analyzing and planning; col. 20, lines 42-52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rivette, US Pat. No. 5,991,751.

Re Claim 10: Rivette fails to explicitly disclose a method, wherein said step of combining the results of the financial database searching step and the intellectual property database searching step, further comprises accessing an assignee/ticker database to determine linkages between the financial database and the intellectual property database on a company basis. Official Notice is taken that it is old and well-known that publically available financial and intellectual property data concerns assignee and ticker data. For example, ticker information is used in investment reports,

searching SEC documents and is published in newspapers. For example, assignee information is available in patent search databases. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Rivette to provide a method, wherein said step of combining the results of the financial database searching step and the intellectual property database searching step, further comprises accessing an assignee/ticker database to determine linkages between the financial database and the intellectual property database on a company basis. As suggested by Rivette one would have been motivated to use publicly available patent related information and non-patent related information (e.g., financial information) to make business decisions.

Claims 1,2,7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rivette, US Pat. No. 5,991,751 in view of Black, US Pat. No. 6,012,042.

Re Claim 1: Rivette discloses in an on-line financial screening service, a method of searching a

financial database and an intellectual property database in order to find securities matching user search criteria and relevant intellectual property, wherein the users access the database over the Internet, the method comprising: searching the database for records matching financial search criteria defined by a user (Rivette, Figs. 1,2,4,6; abstract; col. 3, line 59+ - col. 4, line 4; col. 10, lines 17-39; col. 11, lines 1-7; col. 12, lines 44-52; col. 12, lines 65+ - col. 13, line 7; col. 15, lines 37-45; col. 16, lines 42-52; and col. 21, lines 59+ - col. 22, line 6 refs. non-patent information, financial information, databases); and

relaxing at least some of the search criteria, until at least a required number of matching records are found, wherein the required number of matching records is never less than one (Rivette, col. 25, line 39+ - col. 30, line 6 searching module); and reporting the matching records to the user (Rivette, col. 9, line 65+ -col. 10, line 3 ie., reporting, analyzing and planning; col. 20, lines 42-52).

Rivette fails to explicitly disclose a method wherein reporting the matching records to the user, includes at least one item of data selected from the group consisting of Stock Price, Price/Earnings Ratio, Current Ratio, Debt/Equity Ratio, Cash/price ratio, Earnings per Share Growth-1Yr, Earnings per Share Growth-IYr, Insider Trades, Institutional Holdings %, Price/Book Ratio, and Price/cash Flow Ratio

Black discloses a method wherein reporting the matching records to the user, includes at least one item of data selected from the group consisting of Stock Price, Price/Earnings Ratio, Current Ratio, Debt/Equity Ratio, Cash/price ratio, Earnings per Share Growth-1Yr, Earnings per Share Growth-IYr, Insider Trades, Institutional Holdings %, Price/Book Ratio, and Price/cash Flow Ratio (Black, Figs. 3 and 4; col. 1, lines 45-65; and col. 2, lines 8-41 financial information).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Rivette by adopting the teachings of Black to provide in an on-line financial screening service, a method of searching a financial database and an intellectual property database in order to find securities matching user search criteria and relevant intellectual property, wherein the users

access the database over the Internet, the method comprising: searching the database for records matching financial search criteria defined by a user; and relaxing at least some of the search criteria, until at least a required number of matching records are found, wherein the required number of matching records is never less than one; and reporting the matching records to the user, including at least one item of data selected from the group consisting of Stock Price, Price/Earnings Ratio, Current Ratio, Debt/Equity Ratio, Cash/price ratio, Earnings per Share Growth- 1Yr, Earnings per Share Growth-1Yr, Insider Trades, Institutional Holdings %, Price/Book Ratio, and Price/cash Flow Ratio.

As suggested by Rivette one would have been motivated to use publicly available patent related information and non-patent related information (e.g., financial information) to make business decisions.

Re Claim 2: Rivette discloses a method, wherein the search criteria is suitable for being delimited between a minimum and maximum (Rivette, col. 25, line 39+ - col. 30, line 6 searching module).

Re Claim 7: Rivette discloses a method, wherein the updated information includes: financial data (Rivette, col. 25, line 39+ - col. 30, line 6 searching module; Figs. 1,2,4,6; abstract; col. 3, line 59+ - col. 4, line 4; col. 10, lines 17-39; col. 11, lines 1-7; col. 12, lines 44-52; col. 12, lines 65+ - col. 13, line 7; col. 15, liens 37-45; col. 16, lines 42-52; and col. 21, lines 59+ - col. 22, line 6 refs. non-patent information, financial information, databases), and

at least one item of intellectual property data selected from the group

Application/Control Number: 09/965,071

Art Unit: 3693

consisting of Patent Number, Inventor Name, Issue Date, Title, Inventor State,
Abstract, Inventor Country, Claims), Attorney or Agent, Description/specification,
Assignee Name, Assignee State, Assignee Country, Issued US Classification,
International Classification, Application Serial Number, Application Date, Primary
Examiner, Assistant Examiner, Parent Case Information, Related US App. Data,
US References, Reissue Data Foreign References, Foreign Priority, PCT
Information, Government Interest, and Application Type (Rivette, col. 25, line 39+ - col.
30, line 6 searching module; Figs. 12a-m; abstract; col. 3, line 59+ - col. 4, line 3; col. 4, line 15-21; col. 10, lines 8-16 and lines 45-48; col. 16, lines 53-67 ref. patent information).

Rivette fails to explicitly disclose a method, wherein the updated information includes:

at least one item of financial data selected from the group consisting of Stock Price, Price/Earnings Ratio, Current Ratio, Debt/Equity Ratio, Cash/price ratio, Earnings per Share Growth-1Yr, Earnings per Share Growth-1Yr, Insider Trades, Institutional Holdings %, Price/Book Ratio, and Price/cash Flow Ratio,

Black discloses a method, wherein the updated information includes: at least one item of financial data selected from the group consisting of Stock Price, Price/Earnings Ratio, Current Ratio, Debt/Equity Ratio, Cash/price ratio, Earnings per Share Growth-1Yr, Earnings per Share Growth-1Yr, Insider Trades, Institutional Holdings %, Price/Book Ratio, and Price/cash Flow Ratio.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Rivette by adopting the teachings of Black to provide in an on-line service, a method for screening financial investment opportunities, comprising the steps of: searching a financial database for data records associated with at least one company based upon a financial search criteria and retrieving the results thereof; searching an intellectual property database for data records associated with at least one company based upon an intellectual property search criteria and retrieving the results thereof; combining the results of the financial database searching step and the intellectual property database searching step to determine common results on a company basis; and depicting the combined results.

Page 14

As suggested by Rivette one would have been motivated to use publicly available patent related information and non-patent related information (e.g., financial information) to make business decisions.

Re Claim 14: Rivette discloses a system, including a financial database includes records having financial data (Rivette, col. 25, line 39+ - col. 30, line 6 searching module; Figs. 1,2,4,6; abstract; col. 3, line 59+ - col. 4, line 4; col. 10, lines 17-39; col. 11, lines 1-7; col. 12, lines 44-52; col. 12, lines 65+ - col. 13, line 7; col. 15, liens 37-45; col. 16, lines 42-52; and col. 21, lines 59+ - col. 22, line 6 refs. non-patent information, financial information, databases), and

where said intellectual property database includes records having at least one item of intellectual property data selected from the group consisting of Patent Number, Inventor Name, Issue Date, Title, Inventor State, Abstract, Inventor

Country, Claims), Attorney or Agent, Description/specification, Assignee Name,
Assignee State, Assignee Country, Issued US Classification, International
Classification, Application Serial Number, Application Date, Primary Examiner,
Assistant Examiner, Parent Case Information, Related US App. Data, US
References, Reissue Data Foreign References, Foreign Priority, PCT Information,
Government Interest, and Application Type (Rivette, col. 25, line 39+ - col. 30, line 6
searching module; Figs. 12a-m; abstract; col. 3, line 59+ - col. 4, line 3; col. 4, line 1521; col. 10, lines 8-16 and lines 45-48; col. 16, lines 53-67 ref. patent information).

Rivette fails to explicitly disclose a system, wherein said financial database includes records having at least one item of financial data selected from the group consisting of Stock Price, Price/Earnings Ratio, Current Ratio, Debt/Equity Ratio, Cash/price ratio, Earnings per Share Growth-1Yr, Earnings per Share Growth-1Yr, Insider Trades, Institutional Holdings %, Price/Book Ratio, and Price/cash Flow Ratio.

Black discloses a system, wherein said financial database includes records having at least one item of financial data selected from the group consisting of Stock Price, Price/Earnings Ratio, Current Ratio, Debt/Equity Ratio, Cash/price ratio, Earnings per Share Growth-1Yr, Earnings per Share Growth-1Yr, Insider Trades, Institutional Holdings %, Price/Book Ratio, and Price/cash Flow Ratio.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Rivette by adopting the teachings of Black to

provide a system, wherein said financial database includes records having at least one item of financial data selected from the group consisting of Stock Price, Price/Earnings Ratio, Current Ratio, Debt/Equity Ratio, Cash/price ratio, Earnings per Share Growth-IYr, Earnings per Share Growth-1Yr, Insider Trades, Institutional Holdings %, Price/Book Ratio, and Price/cash Flow Ratio, and where said intellectual property database includes records having at least one item of intellectual property data selected from the group consisting of Patent Number, Inventor Name, Issue Date, Title, Inventor State, Abstract, Inventor Country, Claims), Attorney or Agent, Description/specification, Assignee Name, Assignee State, Assignee Country, Issued US Classification, International Classification, Application Serial Number, Application Date, Primary Examiner, Assistant Examiner, Parent Case Information, Related US App. Data, US References, Reissue Data Foreign References, Foreign Priority, PCT Information, Government Interest, and Application Type.

As suggested by Rivette one would have been motivated to use publicly available patent related information and non-patent related information (e.g., financial information) to make business decisions.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sara Chandler whose telephone number is 571-272-1186. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

Art Unit: 3693

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SMC

YAGDISH N. PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Chambat